

A FINITE DIMENSIONAL A_∞ ALGEBRA EXAMPLE

MICHAEL P. ALLOCCA AND TOM LADA

Dedicated to Tornike Kadeishvili on the occasion of his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT. We construct an example of an A_∞ algebra structure defined over a finite dimensional graded vector space.

INTRODUCTION

A_∞ algebras (or sha algebras) and L_∞ (or sh Lie algebras) have been topics of current research. Construction of small examples of these algebras can play a role in gaining insight into deeper properties of these structures. These examples may prove useful in developing a deformation theory as well as a representation theory for these algebras.

In [2], an L_∞ algebra structure on the graded vector space $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ where V_0 is a 2 dimensional vector space, and V_1 is a 1 dimensional space, is discussed. This surprisingly rich structure on this small graded vector space was shown by Kadeishvili and Lada, [3], to be an example of an open-closed homotopy algebra (OCHA) defined by Kajiwara and Stasheff [4]. In an unpublished note [1] M. Daily constructs a variety of other L_∞ algebra structures on this same vector space.

In this article we add to this collection of structures on the vector space V by providing a detailed construction of non-trivial A_∞ algebra data for V .

1. A_∞ ALGEBRAS

We first recall the definition of an A_∞ algebra (Stasheff [6]).

Definition 1.1. *Let V be a graded vector space. An A_∞ structure on V is a collection of linear maps $m_k : V^{\otimes k} \rightarrow V$ of degree $2 - k$ that satisfy the identity*

$$\sum_{\lambda=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-\lambda} \alpha m_{n-k+1}(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_\lambda \otimes m_k(x_{\lambda+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\lambda+k}) \otimes x_{\lambda+k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) = 0$$

where $\alpha = (-1)^{k+\lambda+k\lambda+kn+k(|x_1|+\cdots+|x_\lambda|)}$, for all $n \geq 1$.

This utilizes the cochain complex convention. One may alternatively utilize the chain complex convention by requiring each map m_k to have degree $k - 2$.

We will define the desuspension of V (denoted $\downarrow V$) as the graded vector space with indices given by $(\downarrow V)_n = V_{n+1}$, and the desuspension operator, $\downarrow: V \rightarrow \downarrow V$ (resp. suspension operator $\uparrow: \downarrow V \rightarrow V$) in the natural sense.

Stasheff also showed that an A_∞ structure on V is equivalent to the existence of a degree 1 coderivation $D: T^* \downarrow V \rightarrow T^* \downarrow V$ with the property $D^2 = 0$. Here, $T^* \downarrow V$ is the tensor coalgebra on the graded vector space $\downarrow V$.

Such a coderivation is constructed by defining

$$D := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m'_k, \text{ where } m'_k: \downarrow V^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \downarrow V \text{ is given by first defining } m'_k := (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \downarrow \circ m_k \circ \uparrow^{\otimes k}$$

and then extending each m'_k to a coderivation on $T^* \downarrow V$.

2. A FINITE DIMENSIONAL EXAMPLE

Let V denote the graded vector space given by $V = \bigoplus V_n$ where V_0 has basis $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$, V_1 has basis $\langle w \rangle$, and $V_n = 0$ for $n \neq 0, 1$. Define a structure on V by the following linear maps $m_n: V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V$:

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(v_1) &= m_1(v_2) = w \\ \text{For } n \geq 2: \quad m_n(v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes k} \otimes v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes(n-2)-k}) &= (-1)^k s_n v_1, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-2 \\ m_n(v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes(n-2)} \otimes v_2) &= s_{n+1} v_1 \\ m_n(v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes(n-1)}) &= s_{n+1} w \end{aligned}$$

where $s_n = (-1)^{\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}}$, and $m_n = 0$ when evaluated on any element of $V^{\otimes n}$ that is not listed above.

Theorem 2.1. *The maps defined above give the graded vector space V an A_∞ algebra structure.*

It is worth noting that this assumes the cochain convention regarding A_∞ algebra structures. The proof of this theorem relies on two lemmas:

Lemma 2.2. *Let $m'_n: \downarrow V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \downarrow V := (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \downarrow \circ m_n \circ \uparrow^{\otimes n}$ where $\downarrow V$ denotes the desuspension of V . Under the preceding definitions for m_n and V , we have the following definitions for m'_n :*

$$\begin{aligned} m'_1 &= \downarrow m_1 \\ \text{For } n \geq 2: \quad m'_n(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)-k}) &= \downarrow v_1, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-2 \\ m'_n(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)} \otimes \downarrow v_2) &= \downarrow v_1 \\ m'_n(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-1)}) &= \downarrow w \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.3. Each m'_n is of degree 1.

Lemma 2.4. Let $D = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m'_k$ where m'_k is defined above. Let $n \geq 2$ be a positive integer. Suppose $D^2(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_m) = 0 \forall x_i \in V, 1 \leq m \leq n-1$.

$$\text{Then } D^2(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_n) = \sum_{i+j=n+1} m'_i m'_j (\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_n)$$

Proof of Lemma 2.2. $m'_1(x) = (-1)^0 \downarrow \circ m_1 \circ \uparrow (\downarrow x) = \downarrow m_1(x)$ for any x .

Now let $n \geq 2$. The majority of the work here is centered around computing the signs associated with the graded setting. The elements x_i and the maps \uparrow, \downarrow , and m_n all contribute to an overall sign via their degrees. Observing these signs, we find

$$\begin{aligned} m'_n(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_n) &= (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \downarrow \circ m_n \circ \uparrow^{\otimes n} (\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_n) \\ &= \begin{cases} (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{n/2} |x_{2i-1}|} \downarrow m_n(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \\ (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{(n-1)/2} |x_{2i}|} \downarrow m_n(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

First consider $m'_n(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)-k})$, $0 \leq k \leq n-2$:

Case 1: n is even, k is even. Then

$$\begin{aligned} m'_n(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)-k}) &= (-1)^{|v_1| + (\frac{n}{2}-1)|w|} \downarrow m_n(v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes k} \otimes v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes(n-2)-k}) \\ &= (-1)^{0 + \frac{n}{2} - 1} (-1)^k s_n \downarrow v_1 \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{n}{2} - 1} (-1)^{\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}} \downarrow v_1 \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{n}{2} - 1} (-1)^{(n+1)(\frac{n}{2}+1)} \downarrow v_1 \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

If $\frac{n}{2}$ is even, then $(*) = (-1)^{\text{odd}} (-1)^{\text{odd} * \text{odd}} \downarrow v_1 = \downarrow v_1$.

If $\frac{n}{2}$ is odd, then $(*) = (-1)^{\text{even}} (-1)^{\text{odd} * \text{even}} \downarrow v_1 = \downarrow v_1$.

Case 2: n is even, k is odd. Then

$$\begin{aligned} m'_n(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)-k}) &= (-1)^{2|v_1| + (\frac{n}{2}-2)|w|} \downarrow m_n(v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes k} \otimes v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes(n-2)-k}) \\ &= (-1)^{0 + \frac{n}{2} - 2} (-1)^k s_n \downarrow v_1 \\ &= -(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}} \downarrow v_1 \\ &= -(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} (-1)^{(n+1)(\frac{n}{2}+1)} \downarrow v_1 \quad (**) \end{aligned}$$

If $\frac{n}{2}$ is even, then $(**) = -(-1)^{\text{even}} (-1)^{\text{odd} * \text{odd}} \downarrow v_1 = \downarrow v_1$.

If $\frac{n}{2}$ is odd, then $(**) = -(-1)^{\text{odd}} (-1)^{\text{odd} * \text{even}} \downarrow v_1 = \downarrow v_1$.

Case 3: n is odd, k is even. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
m'_n(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)-k}) &= (-1)^{|v_1| + (\frac{n-1}{2}-1)|w|} \downarrow m_n(v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes k} \otimes v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes(n-2)-k}) \\
&= (-1)^{0 + \frac{n-1}{2} - 1} (-1)^k s_n \downarrow v_1 \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2} - 1} (-1)^{\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}} \downarrow v_1 \\
&= -(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}} \downarrow v_1 \quad (***)
\end{aligned}$$

If $\frac{n-1}{2}$ is even, then $(***) = -(-1)^{\text{even}}(-1)^{\text{odd*odd}} \downarrow v_1 = \downarrow v_1$.

If $\frac{n-1}{2}$ is odd, then $(***) = -(-1)^{\text{odd}}(-1)^{\text{even*odd}} \downarrow v_1 = \downarrow v_1$.

Case 4: n is odd, k is odd. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
m'_n(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)-k}) &= (-1)^{(\frac{n-1}{2})|w|} \downarrow m_n(v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes k} \otimes v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes(n-2)-k}) \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (-1)^k s_n \downarrow v_1 \\
&= -(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}} \downarrow v_1 \\
&= -(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}} \downarrow v_1 \quad (***)
\end{aligned}$$

If $\frac{n-1}{2}$ is even, then $(***) = -(-1)^{\text{even}}(-1)^{\text{odd*odd}} \downarrow v_1 = \downarrow v_1$.

If $\frac{n-1}{2}$ is odd, then $(***) = -(-1)^{\text{odd}}(-1)^{\text{even*odd}} \downarrow v_1 = \downarrow v_1$.

Hence $m'_n(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)-k}) = \downarrow v_1$, $0 \leq k \leq n-2$

Now consider $m'_n(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)} \otimes \downarrow v_2)$:

Case 1: n is even. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
m'_n(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)} \otimes \downarrow v_2) &= (-1)^{|v_1| + (\frac{n}{2}-1)|w|} m_n(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)} \otimes \downarrow v_2) \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{n}{2} - 1} s_{n+1} \downarrow v_1 \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{n}{2} - 1} (-1)^{\frac{(n+2)(n+3)}{2}} \downarrow v_1 \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{n}{2} - 1} (-1)^{(\frac{n}{2}-1)(n+3)} \downarrow v_1 \quad (*)
\end{aligned}$$

If $\frac{n}{2}$ is even, then $(*) = (-1)^{\text{odd}}(-1)^{\text{odd*odd}} \downarrow v_1 = \downarrow v_1$.

If $\frac{n}{2}$ is odd, then $(*) = (-1)^{\text{even}}(-1)^{\text{even*odd}} \downarrow v_1 = \downarrow v_1$.

Case 2: n is odd. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 m'_n(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)} \otimes \downarrow v_2) &= (-1)^{\binom{n-1}{2}|w|} m_n(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)} \otimes \downarrow v_2) \\
 &= (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} s_{n+1} \downarrow v_1 \\
 &= (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{(n+2)(n+3)}{2}} \downarrow v_1 \\
 &= (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (-1)^{(n+2)\binom{n+3}{2}} \downarrow v_1 \quad (**)
 \end{aligned}$$

If $\frac{n-1}{2}$ is even, then $(**) = (-1)^{\text{even}} (-1)^{\text{odd} \cdot \text{even}} \downarrow v_1 = \downarrow v_1$.

If $\frac{n-1}{2}$ is odd, then $(**) = (-1)^{\text{odd}} (-1)^{\text{odd} \cdot \text{odd}} \downarrow v_1 = \downarrow v_1$.

$$\text{Hence } m'_n(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)} \otimes \downarrow v_2) = \downarrow v_1$$

The preceding arguments for cases 1 and 2 for $m'_n(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)} \otimes \downarrow v_2)$ may be repeated for $m'_n(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-1)})$.

$$\text{Thus } m'_n(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-1)}) = \downarrow w$$

□

Proof of Lemma 2.4. We first note that

$$D^2(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_n) = \sum_{i+j \leq n+1} m'_i m'_j(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_n)$$

since $m'_k(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_l) = 0$ for $k > l$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^2(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_n) &= \sum_{i+j \leq n} m'_i m'_j(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_n) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i+j=n+1} m'_i m'_j(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_n)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence it suffices to show that $\sum_{i+j \leq n} m'_i m'_j(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_n) = 0$

Consider $\sum_{i+j \leq n} m'_i m'_j(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_n)$: Since $i + j \leq n$, we can break this sum up into 4 different types of elements in $\downarrow V^{\otimes k}$ based on whether the first and last terms in the tensor product contain m'_i or m'_j :

- Type 1: Elements with first term $\downarrow x_1$ and last term $\downarrow x_n$
(example: $\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2 \otimes m'_1(\downarrow x_3) \otimes m'_2(\downarrow x_4 \otimes \downarrow x_5) \otimes \downarrow x_6$)
- Type 2: Elements with first term $\downarrow x_1$ and last term containing m'_k for some k
(example: $\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2 \otimes m'_3(\downarrow x_3 \otimes m'_2(\downarrow x_4 \otimes \downarrow x_5)) \otimes \downarrow x_6$)
- Type 3: Elements with first term containing m'_k for some k and last term $\downarrow x_n$

(example: $m'_2(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2) \otimes m'_1(\downarrow x_3) \otimes \downarrow x_4 \otimes \downarrow x_5 \otimes \downarrow x_6$)

- Type 4: Elements with first term containing m'_k and last term containing m'_l for some k, l
(example: $m'_2(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2) \otimes \downarrow x_3 \otimes \downarrow x_4 \otimes m'_2(\downarrow x_5 \otimes \downarrow x_6)$)

Now each term of type 1 must be produced by $m'_i m'_j$ with $i + j \leq n - 1$. Hence, by factorization of tensor products, all possible terms of type 1 are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{2|x_1|-2} \left(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \left(\sum_{i+j \leq n-1} m'_i m'_j (\downarrow x_2 \otimes \downarrow x_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_{n-1}) \right) \right) \otimes \downarrow x_n \\ &= \left(\downarrow x_1 \otimes (D^2(\downarrow x_2 \otimes \downarrow x_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_{n-1})) \right) \otimes \downarrow x_n \\ &= (\downarrow x_1 \otimes 0) \otimes \downarrow x_n \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $D^2 = 0$ when evaluated on $n - 2$ terms.

Now since all terms of type 1 form a collection of elements in $\downarrow V^{\otimes k}$ that sum up to 0, we can duplicate this collection multiple times. This is significant when we consider all terms of type 2 in conjunction with a set of type 1 terms. Combining all type 2 terms with a set of type 1 terms and factoring tensor products, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{2|x_1|-2} \downarrow x_1 \otimes \left(\sum_{i+j \leq n} m'_i m'_j (\downarrow x_2 \otimes \downarrow x_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_n) \right) \\ &= \downarrow x_1 \otimes (D^2(\downarrow x_2 \otimes \downarrow x_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_n)) \\ &= \downarrow x_1 \otimes 0 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $D^2 = 0$ when evaluated on $n - 1$ terms.

Hence, all type 2 added together equal 0. All type 3 terms added together equal 0 following a similar argument .

We now consider type 4 terms. Consider an arbitrary element of type 4:

$$m'_i(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_i) \otimes \downarrow x_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_{n-j} \otimes m'_j(\downarrow x_{n-j+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_n)$$

Consider how this arbitrary element is generated: We begin with

$$m'_i m'_j (\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_n)$$

We then apply m'_j to the last j terms, which yields:

$$(-1)^{|x_1| + \cdots + |x_{n-j}| - (n-j)} m'_i (\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_{n-j} \otimes m'_j (\downarrow x_{n-j+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_n))$$

Finally we apply m'_i to the first i terms:

$$(-1)^{|x_1|+\dots+|x_{n-j}|-(n-j)} m'_i(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \downarrow x_i) \otimes \downarrow x_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \downarrow x_{n-j} \otimes m'_j(\downarrow x_{n-j+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \downarrow x_n) \quad (*)$$

Each of these arbitrary type 4 elements can be paired up with an element generated by $m'_j m'_i$ as follows: Begin with

$$m'_j m'_i(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \downarrow x_n)$$

Then apply m'_i to the first i terms:

$$m'_j(m'_i(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \downarrow x_i) \otimes \downarrow x_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \downarrow x_n)$$

Finally, apply m'_j to the last j terms:

$$(-1)^{|x_1|+\dots+|x_{n-j}|-(n-j)+1} m'_i(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \downarrow x_i) \otimes \downarrow x_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \downarrow x_{n-j} \otimes m'_j(\downarrow x_{n-j+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \downarrow x_n) \quad (**)$$

Since these type 4 elements were arbitrary, and $(*) + (**)$ = 0, all type 4 terms added together equal 0. Hence, all type 1, 2, 3, and 4 terms yield 0, and so

$$\sum_{i+j \leq n} m'_i m'_j(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \downarrow x_n) = 0$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.1. It is clear that each map m_n is of degree $2 - n$. To prove that these maps yield an A_∞ structure, one may verify that they satisfy the identity given in definition 1.1. However, this is a rather daunting task, due to the varying signs, s_n , accompanying the m_n maps. To utilize an alternative method of proof, we construct a degree 1 coderivation, D , as described in section 1.

In the context of Theorem 2.1, we may use the definition for m'_k given by Lemma 2.2 to construct D . It then suffices to show that $D^2 = 0$.

We aim to prove $D^2 = 0$ by induction on the number of inputs for D . It is worth first noting that $D = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m'_k$, however $D(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \downarrow x_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n m'_k(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \downarrow x_n)$ since $m'_k(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \downarrow x_n) = 0$ for $k \geq n$.

For $n = 1$, we have $D^2(\downarrow x) = m'_1 m'_1(\downarrow x) = \downarrow m_1^2(x) = 0 \forall x \in V$.

For $n = 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D^2(\downarrow x_1, \downarrow x_2) &= m'_1 m'_1(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2) + m'_1 m'_2(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2) \\
&\quad + m'_2 m'_1(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2) + m'_2 m'_2(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2) \\
&= m'_1(m'_1(\downarrow x_1) \otimes \downarrow x_2 - (-1)^{|x_1|} x_1 \otimes m'_1(x_2)) + m'_1 m'_2(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2) \\
&\quad + m'_2(m'_1(\downarrow x_1) \otimes \downarrow x_2 - (-1)^{|x_1|} x_1 \otimes m'_1(x_2)) + 0 \\
&= [m'_1 m'_1(\downarrow x_1) \otimes \downarrow x_2 + (-1)^{|x_1|} m'_1(\downarrow x_1) \otimes m'_1(\downarrow x_2)] \\
&\quad - (-1)^{|x_1|} [m'_1(x_1) \otimes m'_1(x_2) - (-1)^{|x_1|} x_1 \otimes m'_1 m'_1(x_2)] + m'_1 m'_2(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2) \\
&\quad + m'_2(m'_1(\downarrow x_1) \otimes \downarrow x_2) - (-1)^{|x_1|} m'_2(x_1 \otimes m'_1(x_2)) \\
&= m'_1 m'_2(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \downarrow x_2) + m'_2(m'_1(\downarrow x_1) \otimes \downarrow x_2) - (-1)^{|x_1|} m'_2(x_1 \otimes m'_1(x_2)) \\
&= 0 \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in V
\end{aligned}$$

Now assume $D^2(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \downarrow \otimes x_{n-1}) = 0$. We aim to show that $D^2(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \downarrow \otimes x_n) = 0$:
By Lemma 2.4, $D^2(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \downarrow \otimes x_n) = \sum_{i+j=n+1} m'_i m'_j(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \downarrow \otimes x_n)$, hence it suffices to
show that $\sum_{i+j=n+1} m'_i m'_j(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \downarrow \otimes x_n) = 0, \forall x_1 \cdots x_n \in V$.

It is advantageous to approach this problem from the bottom up, since $x_1 \cdots x_n \in V$ implies calculating 3^n different combinations of elements. That is, we consider only nontrivial (nonzero) elements in the sum $\sum_{i+j=n+1} m'_i m'_j(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \downarrow \otimes x_n)$. Now since $i + j = n + 1$, we observe that $m'_i m'_j(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \downarrow \otimes x_n) \in \downarrow V^{\otimes 1}$. Since, by definition, m'_i cannot produce the element $\downarrow v_2$, the seemingly large task of considering nontrivial $m'_i m'_j(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \downarrow \otimes x_n)$ yields only two possibilities:

$$\begin{aligned}
&m'_i m'_j(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \downarrow \otimes x_n) = c \downarrow v_1 \\
&\text{or } m'_i m'_j(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \downarrow \otimes x_n) = c \downarrow w \text{ for some constant, } c.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.5. *Since production of a $\downarrow v_1$ or $\downarrow w$ relies on the number of v 's and w 's in the arrangement $\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \downarrow \otimes x_n$, these possibilities are disjoint.*

Therefore if $m'_i m'_j(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \downarrow \otimes x_n) \neq 0$ for some $i + j = n + 1$, then $\sum_{i+j=n+1} m'_i m'_j(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \downarrow \otimes x_n)$ contains a collection of $\downarrow v_1$'s or $\downarrow w$'s.

We first consider the manner in which $m'_i m'_j(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \downarrow \otimes x_n)$ yields a $\downarrow w$:

By definition of m'_n , $\downarrow w$ must be produced by $m'_i(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(i-1)})$ (*). Now since a nonzero m'_j will contribute either the $\downarrow v_1$ or a $\downarrow w$ to the arrangement $\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(i-1)}$, the

original arrangement $\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \downarrow \otimes x_n$ must contain exactly one more ‘ v ’ ($v = v_1$ or v_2), for a total of two v ’s. It is also worth nothing that $x_1 = v_1$, otherwise $m'_i m'_j(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \downarrow \otimes x_n) = 0$.

• **Case 1:** $v = v_1$. Then we have $m'_i m'_j(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)-k})$, $0 \leq k \leq n-2$. Now, to produce (*), m'_j must ‘catch’ (1) both $\downarrow v_1$ ’s, or (2) only the second $\downarrow v_1$.

(1) We have $m'_j(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)-k}) = \downarrow v_1$, $k+2 \leq j \leq n$.

This yields $m'_i(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-j)}) = \downarrow w$. Now since $k+2 \leq j \leq n$, there are $n - (k+2) + 1 = n - k - 1$ such terms in $\sum_{i+j=n+1} m'_i m'_j(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)-k})$.

(2) We have $(-1)^{|v_1|+k|w|-(k+1)} m'_i \left(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \left[m'_j(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(j-1)}) \right] \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)-k-(j-1)} \right) = -\downarrow w$, $1 \leq j \leq n - k - 1$. Similarly, there are $(n - k - 1) - 1 + 1 = n - k - 1$ such terms in $\sum_{i+j=n+1} m'_i m'_j(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)-k})$.

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i+j=n+1} m'_i m'_j(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)-k}) = (n - k - 1) \downarrow w - (n - k - 1) \downarrow w = 0.$$

• **Case 2:** $v = v_2$. Then we have $m'_i m'_j(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_2 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)-k})$, $0 \leq k \leq n-2$. Similarly, to produce (*), m'_j must ‘catch’ (1) both $\downarrow v_1$ and $\downarrow v_2$, or (2) only $\downarrow v_2$.

For (1), the only nontrivial way to do this yields:

$$m'_{n-k-1}(m'_{k+2}(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_2) \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)-k}) = \downarrow w$$

and for (2), the only nontrivial way to do this yields:

$$(-1)^{|v_1|+k|w|-(k+1)} m'_n(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes m'_1(\downarrow v_2) \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)-k}) = -\downarrow w$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i+j=n+1} m'_i m'_j(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(n-2)-k}) = \downarrow w - \downarrow w = 0.$$

In either case, if $m'_i m'_j(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \downarrow \otimes x_n)$ produces $\downarrow w$ ’s, then

$$\sum_{i+j=n+1} m'_i m'_j(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \downarrow \otimes x_n) = 0.$$

We now consider the manner in which $m'_i m'_j(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \downarrow \otimes x_n)$ yields a $\downarrow v_1$:

By definition of m'_n , $\downarrow v_1$ must be produced by either $m'_i(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes(i-2)-k})$ or $m'_i(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(i-2)} \otimes \downarrow v_2)$.

• **Case 1:** $\downarrow v_1$ is produced by $m'_i(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(i-2)-k})$.

We examine the 4 different possibilities for which m'_j can yield this arrangement:

- (i) m'_j produces the first $\downarrow v_1$.
- (ii) m'_j produces a $\downarrow w$ in $\downarrow w^{\otimes k}$.
- (iii) m'_j produces the second $\downarrow v_1$.
- (iv) m'_j produces a $\downarrow w$ in $\downarrow w^{\otimes(i-2)-k}$.

A key observation to make here is that (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) imply that the original arrangement $\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_n$ must contain exactly 3 v 's, once again with $x_1 = v_1$. This yields 4 subcases:

Subcase 1: We have $m'_i m'_j(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes l} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes n-k-l-3})$:

(i) m'_j must take the first two $\downarrow v_1$'s. We have:

$$m'_i \left(\left[m'_j(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(j-k-2)}) \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes l-(j-k-2)} \right] \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes n-k-l-3} \right) = \downarrow v_1$$

Now $k+2 \leq j \leq l+k+2$, so there are $(l+k+2) - (k+2) + 1 = l+1$ such terms.

(ii) m'_j must take only the second $\downarrow v_1$. We have:

$$(-1)^{|v_1|+k|w|-(k+1)} m'_i \left(\downarrow v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes k} \otimes \left[m'_j(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(j-1)}) \otimes w^{\otimes l-(j-1)} \right] \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes n-k-l-3} \right) = - \downarrow v_1$$

Now $1 \leq j \leq l+1$, so there are $(l+1) - 1 + 1 = l+1$ such terms.

(iii) m'_j must take the second and third $\downarrow v_1$'s. We have:

$$(-1)^{|v_1|+k|w|-(k+1)} m'_i \left(\downarrow v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes k} \otimes \left[m'_j(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes l} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(j-l-2)}) \right] \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes n-k-j+1} \right) = - \downarrow v_1$$

Now $l+2 \leq j \leq n-k-1$, so there are $(n-k-1) - (l+2) + 1 = n-k-l-2$ such terms.

(iv) m'_j must take only the third $\downarrow v_1$. We have:

$$(-1)^{2|v_1|+(k+l)|w|-(k+l+2)} m'_i \left(\downarrow v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes l} \otimes \left[m'_j(\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(j-1)}) \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes n-k-l-j-2} \right] \right) = \downarrow v_1$$

Now $1 \leq j \leq n-k-l-2$, so there are $(n-k-l-2) - 1 + 1 = n-k-l-2$ such terms.

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i+j=n+1} m'_i m'_j (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes l} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes n-k-l-3}) = (l+1) \downarrow v_1 - (l+1) \downarrow v_1 - (n-k-l-2) \downarrow v_1 + (n-k-l-2) \downarrow v_1 = 0.$$

◦ *Subcase 2:* We have $m'_i m'_j (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes l} \otimes \downarrow v_2 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes n-k-l-3})$:

By the nature of m'_n , it is advantageous to consider whether or not $n-k-l-3=0$:

If $n-k-l-3=0$:

(i) m'_j must take the first two $\downarrow v_1$'s. We have:

$$m'_i \left(\left[m'_j (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes j-k-2}) \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes l-(j-k-2)} \right] \otimes \downarrow v_2 \right) = \downarrow v_1$$

Now $k+2 \leq j \leq l+k+2$, so there are $(l+k+2) - (k+2) + 1 = l+1$ such terms.

(ii) m'_j must take only the second $\downarrow v_1$. We have:

$$(-1)^{|v_1|+k|w|-(k+1)} m'_i \left(\downarrow v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes k} \otimes \left[m'_j (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes (j-1)}) \otimes w^{\otimes l-(j-1)} \right] \otimes \downarrow v_2 \right) = - \downarrow v_1$$

Now $1 \leq j \leq l+1$, so there are $(l+1) - 1 + 1 = l+1$ such terms.

(iii) m'_j must take the second $\downarrow v_1$ and $\downarrow v_2$. The only nontrivial way to do this is:

$$(-1)^{|v_1|+k|w|-(k+1)} m'_{n-l-1} \left(\downarrow v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes k} \otimes \left[m'_{l+2} (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes l} \otimes \downarrow v_2) \right] \right) = - \downarrow v_1$$

(iv) m'_j must take only $\downarrow v_2$. We have:

$$(-1)^{2|v_1|+(k+l)|w|-(k+l+2)} m'_n \left(\downarrow v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes l} \otimes \left[m'_1 (\downarrow v_2) \right] \right) = \downarrow v_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i+j=n+1} m'_i m'_j (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes l} \otimes \downarrow v_2) = (l+1) \downarrow v_1 - (l+1) \downarrow v_1 - \downarrow v_1 + \downarrow v_1 = 0.$$

If $n-k-l-3 \neq 0$:

(i) and (ii) are trivial.

(iii) m'_j must take the second $\downarrow v_1$ and $\downarrow v_2$. The only nontrivial way to do this is:

$$(-1)^{|v_1|+k|w|-(k+1)} m'_{n-l-1} \left(\downarrow v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes k} \otimes \left[m'_{l+2} (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes l} \otimes \downarrow v_2) \right] \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes n-k-l-3} \right) = - \downarrow v_1$$

(iv) m'_j must take only $\downarrow v_2$. We have:

$$(-1)^{2|v_1|+(k+l)|w|-(k+l+2)} m'_n \left(\downarrow v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes l} \otimes \left[m'_1 (\downarrow v_2) \right] \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes n-k-l-3} \right) = \downarrow v_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i+j=n+1} m'_i m'_j (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes l} \otimes \downarrow v_2 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes n-k-l-3}) = - \downarrow v_1 + \downarrow v_1 = 0.$$

◦ *Subcase 3:* We have $m'_i m'_j (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_2 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes l} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes n-k-l-3})$:

(i) m'_j must take the first $\downarrow v_1$ and $\downarrow v_2$. The only nontrivial way to do this is:

$$m'_{n-k-1} \left(\left[m'_{k+2} (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_2) \right] \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes l} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes n-k-l-3} \right) = \downarrow v_1$$

(ii) m'_j must take $\downarrow v_2$ only. The only nontrivial way to do this is:

$$(-1)^{|v_1|+k|w|-(k+1)} m'_n \left(\downarrow v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes k} \otimes \left[m'_1 (\downarrow v_2) \right] \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes l} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes n-k-l-3} \right) = - \downarrow v_1$$

Now (iii) and (iv) are trivial.

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i+j=n+1} m'_i m'_j (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_2 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes l} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes n-k-l-3}) = \downarrow v_1 - \downarrow v_1 = 0.$$

◦ *Subcase 4:* We have $m'_i m'_j (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_2 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes l} \otimes \downarrow v_2 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes n-k-l-3})$:

If $n - k - l - 3 \neq 0$, then this is trivial. Assume $n - k - l - 3 = 0$.

(i) m'_j must take the first $\downarrow v_1$ and first $\downarrow v_2$. The only nontrivial way to do this is:

$$m'_{n-k-1} \left(\left[m'_{k+2} (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_2) \right] \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes l} \otimes \downarrow v_2 \right) = \downarrow v_1$$

(ii) m'_j must take second $\downarrow v_2$ only. The only nontrivial way to do this is:

$$(-1)^{|v_1|+k|w|-(k+1)} m'_n \left(\downarrow v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes k} \otimes \left[m'_1 (\downarrow v_2) \right] \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes l} \otimes \downarrow v_2 \right) = - \downarrow v_1$$

Now (iii) and (iv) are trivial.

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i+j=n+1} m'_i m'_j (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_2 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes l} \otimes \downarrow v_2 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes n-k-l-3}) = \downarrow v_1 - \downarrow v_1 = 0.$$

Hence, our result holds for case 1.

• **Case 2:** $\downarrow v_1$ is produced by $m'_i (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes(i-2)} \otimes \downarrow v_2)$.

We examine the 2 different possibilities for which m'_j can yield this arrangement:

- (i) m'_j produces the $\downarrow v_1$.
- (ii) m'_j produces a $\downarrow w$ in $\downarrow w^{\otimes(i-2)}$.

A similar observation to case 1 can be made here regarding the original arrangement $\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_n$ containing exactly 3 v 's, once again with $x_1 = v_1$. In this case, $x_n = v_2$. This yields 2 subcases:

◦ *Subcase 1:* We have $m'_i m'_j (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes (n-k-3)} \otimes \downarrow v_2)$

(i) m'_j must take both $\downarrow v_1$'s. We have:

$$m'_i \left(\left[m'_j (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes j-k-2}) \right] \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes n-j-1} \otimes \downarrow v_2 \right) = \downarrow v_1$$

Now $k+2 \leq j \leq n-1$, so there are $(n-1) - (k+2) + 1 = n-k-2$ such terms.

(ii) m'_j must take the second $\downarrow v_1$ only. We have:

$$(-1)^{|v_1|+k|w|-(k+1)} m'_i \left(\downarrow v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes k} \otimes \left[m'_j (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes j-1}) \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes n-k-j-2} \right] \otimes \downarrow v_2 \right) = - \downarrow v_1$$

Now $1 \leq j \leq n-k-2$, so there are $(n-k-2) - (1) + 1 = n-k-2$ such terms.

This implies that

$$\sum_{i+j=n+1} m'_i m'_j (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes (n-k-3)} \otimes \downarrow v_2) = (n-k-2) \downarrow v_1 - (n-k-2) \downarrow v_1 = 0.$$

◦ *Subcase 2:* We have $m'_i m'_j (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_2 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes (n-k-3)} \otimes \downarrow v_2)$

(i) m'_j must take $\downarrow v_1$ and the first $\downarrow v_2$. The only nontrivial way to do this is:

$$m'_{n-k-1} \left(\left[m'_{k+2} (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_2) \right] \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes n-k-3} \otimes \downarrow v_2 \right) = \downarrow v_1$$

(ii) m'_j must take second $\downarrow v_2$ only. The only nontrivial way to do this is:

$$(-1)^{|v_1|+k|w|-(k+1)} m'_n \left(\downarrow v_1 \otimes w^{\otimes k} \otimes \left[m'_1 (\downarrow v_2) \right] \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes n-k-3} \otimes \downarrow v_2 \right) = - \downarrow v_1$$

Now (iii) and (iv) are trivial.

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i+j=n+1} m'_i m'_j (\downarrow v_1 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes k} \otimes \downarrow v_2 \otimes \downarrow w^{\otimes (n-k-3)} \otimes \downarrow v_2) = \downarrow v_1 - \downarrow v_1 = 0.$$

Hence, our result holds for case 2.

$$\text{So } \sum_{i+j=n+1} m'_i m'_j (\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_n) = 0, \forall x_1 \cdots x_n \in V.$$

$$\text{Thus } D^2(\downarrow x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \downarrow x_n) = 0$$

By induction, $D^2 = 0$ on any number of inputs.

Hence the preceding maps m_n on the graded vector space V form an A_∞ algebra. \square

3. INDUCED L_∞ ALGEBRA

The A_∞ algebra structure on $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ that was constructed in this note may be skew symmetrized to yield an L_∞ algebra structure on V ; see [5] for details. This L_∞ algebra will thus join the collection of previously defined such structures on V . The relationship among these algebras will be a topic for future research.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Daily, *Examples of L_m and L_∞ structures*, unpublished.
- [2] M. Daily and T. Lada, *A finite dimensional L -infinity algebra example in gauge theory*, Homology, Homotopy and Applications, vol.7(2), 87-93 (2004).
- [3] T. Kadeishvili and T. Lada, *A small open-closed homotopy algebra (OCHA)*, Georgian Mathematical Journal, to appear.
- [4] H. Kajiura and J. Stasheff, *Homotopy algebras inspired by classical open-closed string field theory*, Comm. Math Phys. 263, no. 3 (2006), 553-581.
- [5] T. Lada and M. Markl, *Strongly homotopy Lie algebras*, Communications in Algebra 23(6), (1995), 2147-2161.
- [6] J. Stasheff, *Associativity of H -Spaces II*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 108 (1963), 293-312.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, RALEIGH NC 27695, USA
E-mail address: mpallocc@math.ncsu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, RALEIGH NC 27695, USA
E-mail address: lada@math.ncsu.edu